CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN"	rs	,	
CLANISSA COKER  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff DALLAS				RISK M and	an age ment Glen Gephards e of First Listed Defendant	Alternabives, The	
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIF		<del></del>	NOTE: IN LANI	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE O CONDEMNATION CASES, USE NVOLVED.	S ONLY)	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)  8 2 0 4 C V - 15 5. 0. H			
Jerry J.Jan 714 W. Mag food Worth	ezombek inolià Tr 7004	(812) 348-83	325	32 (	)4CV-18	<b>5</b> 9. V. H	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X"			ZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
(,				(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern	Citizen of This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State				
in term tity			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	Fore	ign Country			
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORF	EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability  REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Manne  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle  750 Motor Vehicle  160 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITI  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ott 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other LABOR Dair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations Labor/Mgmt. Relations Discosure Act Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	C422 Appeal 28 USC 158  C423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  C820 Copyrights C830 Patent C840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY  C861 HIA (1395ff) C862 Black Lung (923) C863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) C864 SSID Title XVI C865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  C370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  C371 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of □ Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee □ Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
v. origin (PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	OX ONLY)		Transf	erred from	Appeal to District Judge from	
		Remanded from [Appellate Court	4 Reinsta Reoper	ited or 🛛 5 (specif	r district y)	ict   7 Magistrate  Ludgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI FOCPA (15 TOCA	ON (Cite the U.S. Civil Stat Do not cite jurisdiction USC \$1692 (Tex. Fin. Loo	ute under which you are filinal statutes unless diversity.)  LESEQ ( LESEQ )  LESEQ ( LESEQ )	ng and write brid	ef statement of cause. Ful debt to	edection		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.		ON DEN	IAND \$ 1,000 .00	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  Pes No	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):  IF ANY  JUDGE  DOCKET NUMBER							
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP _		JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE	

Case 3:04-cv-0155

H Document 1 Filed 07/161/04 ₽

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED

CLERK, U.Ş. DISTRIÇT COURT Deputy

Fort Worth, Texas 76104 Voice: 817-348-8325 Fax: 817-348-8328

Jerry J. Jarzombek 714 W. Magnolia

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

CLARISSA COKER, § § Plaintiff, § § and VS. § NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., and GLENN GEBHARDT, Defendants.

Civil Action No.

**COMPLAINT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

## **Preliminary Statement**

- 1. Plaintiff, Clarissa Coker, brings this action under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), as well as under the Texas Debt Collection Act, Tex. Finance Code § 392.001, et seq. ("TDCA") to obtain statutory damages, actual damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and other relief for the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA and the TDCA.
- Defendants, NCO Financial Systems, Inc. ("NCO") and Mr. Gebhardt ("Gebhardt") 2. attempted to collect a consumer debt ("Debt") allegedly owed by Plaintiff arising from a purported

obligation to American Express (AmEx). The obligation ("Debt") required Plaintiff to pay money arising out of transactions in which money, property, insurance, or services were the subject thereof and the same were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

## Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. Jurisdiction of this Court attains pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1337(a), and the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction for the TDCA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 4. Venue in the Northern District of Texas is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c) and because the acts and transactions occurred here and the Defendants transact business here.

### **Parties**

- 5. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Texas. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(1).
- 6. NCO is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts in the Northern District of Texas. The principal purpose of NCO's business is the collection of consumer debts using the mails and telephone, and it regularly attempts to collect consumer debts for others. NCO is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(6). NCO is also a "third-party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(7).
- 7. Gebhardt is an individual engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts in the Northern District of Texas. The principal purpose of Gebhardt's business is the collection of consumer debts using the mails and telephone, and Gebhardt regularly attempts to collect consumer debts for others. Gebhardt is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Tex. Finance Code

§ 392.001(6). Gebhardt is also a "third-party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(7). Gebhardt is employed by NCO as a Collector.

## **Factual Allegations**

- 8. In April 2004, Plaintiff had a telephone conversation with Gebhardt at NCO. During the communication, Gebhardt made several representations, including the following:
  - a. that, as a result of the Debt, AmEx could put a lien on Plaintiff's home;
  - that "once this goes to litigation" NCO "will put a civil judgment on your b. credit report;
  - c. that he was not trying to scare Plaintiff, but was being honest with her;
  - d. AmEx would make Plaintiff pay for their "attorney and court fees' and that Plaintiff's debt was \$6,500, but she would "be looking at well over \$10,000 when this whole thing is said and done;"
  - Accepting Gebhardt's settlement offer of \$4,200 would "repair your credit" e. and change the R-9 rating on this account to an R-2;
  - f. that if AmEx were to place a lien on Plaintiff's car, she could not sell it until the lien was paid off.
- 9. The foregoing acts and omissions were undertaken on behalf of the Defendants by their respective officers, agents, or employees acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of that relationship.
- 10. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants were undertaken by each of them willfully, intentionally, knowingly, and/or in gross disregard of the rights of the Plaintiff.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants were undertaken by each of them 11. indiscriminately and persistently, as part of their regular and routine collection efforts, and without regard to or consideration of the identity or rights of the Plaintiff.

#### First Claim for Relief

- 12. The Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs. The Defendants' violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to the following:
  - In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, the Defendants engaged in conduct the a. natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse a person in connection with the collection of a debt, which conduct included:
    - i. Falsely representing that a lien could be filed on Plaintiff's home, which is exempt property in Texas.
    - ii. Falsely representing that a lien could be place on Plaintiff's car, which is exempt property in Texas.
    - iii. Falsely representing that NCO could put a "civil judgment" on Plaintiff's credit report.
    - iv. Falsely representing that Gebhardt was being honest.
    - Falsely representing that Plaintiff's debt would be increased by more v. than \$3,500, when Gebhardt is neither licensed to practice law nor qualified to give opinions on attorney's fees and court costs.
    - vi. Falsely representing that a settlement with NCO would repair Plaintiff's credit.
    - Discussing credit repair, when neither NCO nor Gebhardt is a Credit vii. Repair Orgainzation.
  - In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e (2)(a), 15 U.S.C. § b. 1692e(10), and the "least sophisticated consumer standard," the Defendants used objectively false representations and/or false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a consumer debt.
  - In violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692e(5) and the "least sophisticated consumer c. standard," the Defendants threatened to take an action which cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.

- Case 3:04-cv-015
  - d. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f, the Defendants used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt which means included:
    - i. Falsely representing that a lien could be filed on Plaintiff's home. which is exempt property in Texas.
    - ii. Falsely representing that a lien could be place on Plaintiff's car, which is exempt property in Texas.
    - iii. Falsely representing that NCO could put a "civil judgment" on Plaintiff's credit report.
    - iv. Falsely representing that Gebhardt was being honest.
    - Falsely representing that Plaintiff's debt would be increased by more v. than \$3,500, when Gebhardt is neither licensed to practice law nor qualified to give opinions on attorney's fees and court costs.
    - Falsely representing that a settlement with NCO would repair vi. Plaintiff's credit.
    - vii. Discussing credit repair, when neither NCO nor Gebhardt is a Credit Repair Orgainzation.

### Second Claim for Relief

- The Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing 13. paragraphs. The Defendants violations of the TDCA include, but are not limited to the following:
  - In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.301(a)(8), the Defendants threatened to a. take (and/or did take) an action prohibited by law.
  - b. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(8), the Defendants misrepresented the character of a consumer debt.
  - In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(14), the Defendants misreprec. sented the status or nature of the services rendered by the debt collector or the debt collector's business.

- d. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(19), the Defendants used false representations or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information concerning a consumer.
- Under Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403, the Defendants' violations of the TDCA 14. render them jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff for statutory damages, actual damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees.

## **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that this Court:

- 1. Declare that Defendants' actions violate the FDCPA and the TDCA.
- 2. Enjoin the Defendants' actions which violate the TDCA.
- 3. Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants for statutory damages, actual damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and/or Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403
- Grant such further relief as deemed just. 4.

Dated: July /3, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry J. Jarzombek

Texas Bar No. 10589050 714 W. Magnolia Avenue

Fort Worth, Texas 76104 Voice: 817-348-3825

Fax: 817-348-8328

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Dated: July <u>/3</u>, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry J. Jarzombek

Texas Bar No. 10589050

714 W. Magnolia

Fort Worth, Texas 76104

Voice: 817-348-8325 Fax: 817-348-8328

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF